



# Topological classification of function spaces with the Fell topology IV <sup>☆</sup>



Hanbiao Yang <sup>a</sup>, Zhongqiang Yang <sup>b,\*</sup>, Yanmei Zheng <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics and Computational Science, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong 515063, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 10 October 2016

Received in revised form 5 June 2017

Accepted 6 June 2017

Available online 12 June 2017

### Keywords:

The Fell topology

The Hilbert cube

Homotopy dense

Metrizability

Continuous map

k-space

## ABSTRACT

For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , let  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  denote the collection of the hypographs of all continuous maps from  $X$  to  $[0, 1]$  with the Fell topology. We show that, for a Tychonoff non-discrete k-space  $X$ , the function space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $c_0 \cup (Q \setminus \Sigma)$  if  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable and the set of isolated points of  $X$  is dense in  $X$ , where  $Q = [-1, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the Hilbert cube,  $\Sigma = \{(x_n) \in Q : \sup |x_n| < 1\}$  and  $c_0 = \{(x_n) \in \Sigma : \lim x_n = 0\}$  are its subspaces. Combining results in the previous papers of the series, we give the topological classification for all metrizable function spaces  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  of k-spaces  $X$ .

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

All spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff topological spaces.

## 1. Introduction and the main theorem

For a space  $X$ , let  $\text{USC}(X)$  and  $C(X)$  be the sets of all upper semi-continuous maps and of all continuous maps from  $X$  to  $\mathbf{I} = [0, 1]$ , respectively. For every  $f \in \text{USC}(X)$ , define

$$\downarrow f = \{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbf{I} : t \leq f(x)\}.$$

The closed set  $\downarrow f$  in the product space  $X \times \mathbf{I}$  is called the *hypograph* of  $f$ . Let

$$\downarrow \text{USC}(X) = \{\downarrow f : f \in \text{USC}(X)\}, \quad \downarrow C(X) = \{\downarrow f : f \in C(X)\}.$$

<sup>☆</sup> The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11526159), Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (No. 2016A030310002) and by The PhD Start-up Fund of Wuyi University (No. 2015BS08). The second and third authors were supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11471202).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [hongsejulebu@sina.com](mailto:hongsejulebu@sina.com) (H. Yang), [zqyang@stu.edu.cn](mailto:zqyang@stu.edu.cn) (Z. Yang), [843149190@qq.com](mailto:843149190@qq.com) (Y. Zheng).

Then  $\downarrow C(X) \subset \downarrow USC(X) \subset \text{Cld}(X \times \mathbf{I})$ , where  $\text{Cld}(X \times \mathbf{I})$  consists of all non-empty closed sets in the product space  $X \times \mathbf{I}$ . For a space  $Y$ , we recall that the *Fell topology* on the family  $\text{Cld}(Y)$  of all non-empty closed sets in  $Y$  is generated by

$$\{K^*, U^- : K \text{ and } U \text{ are compact and open, respectively in } Y\}$$

as a subbase, where

$$K^* = \{F \in \text{Cld}(Y) : F \cap K = \emptyset\}, \quad U^- = \{F \in \text{Cld}(Y) : F \cap U \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We use  $\text{Cld}_F(Y)$  to denote  $\text{Cld}(Y)$  with the Fell topology. And we use  $\downarrow USC_F(X)$  and  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  to denote the sets  $\downarrow USC(X)$  and  $\downarrow C(X)$  with the subspace topology of the space  $\text{Cld}_F(X \times \mathbf{I})$ . Similarly, we can define the space  $\uparrow C_F(X)$ . The topologies on  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  and  $\uparrow C_F(X)$  are called the *Fell hypograph topology* and *Fell hypergraph topology* in the set  $C(X)$ , respectively. Note that the compact-open topology on the function space  $C(X)$  is the least upper bound of those two topologies on  $C(X)$ .

As usual,  $\text{cl}_Y$  and  $\text{int}_Y$  denote the closure operator and interior operator in a space  $Y$ . We omit the subscript if no confusion. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers with the discrete topology and

$$Q = [-1, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$$

the Hilbert cube. Define its two subspaces as follows

$$\Sigma = \{(x_n) \in Q : \sup |x_n| < 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad c_0 = \{(x_n) \in \Sigma : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0\}.$$

For two spaces  $X, Y$  and their subspaces  $A, B$ , respectively, the symbol  $(X, A) \approx (Y, B)$  means that there exists a homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $h(A) = B$ . Similarly, the symbol  $X \approx Y$  means that  $X$  and  $Y$  are homeomorphic. Moreover, we use  $X_0$  to denote the set of all isolated points in  $X$ .

In [8,10,11,13,15], the authors gave the topological classification for all metrizable function spaces  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  under the condition that  $X$  is metrizable. That is,

**Theorem 1.** *For a metrizable space  $X$ , the function space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  is a locally compact and separable metrizable space. Moreover, then*

$$(\downarrow USC_F(X), \downarrow C_F(X)) \approx \begin{cases} (\mathbf{I}^{|X|}, \mathbf{I}^{|X|}) & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete;} \\ (Q, c_0) & \text{if } \text{cl } X_0 \neq X; \\ (Q, c_0 \cup (Q \setminus \Sigma)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

But there are also many non-metrizable spaces  $X$  such that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  are metrizable, see the next section and the last section of this paper, [3,7,12].

In [14] and [9], the authors gave the topological structure of the metrizable function space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  when  $X$  is a  $k$ -space and satisfies some conditions. That is:

**Theorem 2.** *For a  $k$ -space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\downarrow C_F(X) \approx c_0$ ;
- (b)  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable but not Baire;
- (c)  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable and  $\text{cl } X_0 \neq X$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $X$  be a space satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable;
- (ii)  $X$  is a non-discrete  $k$ -space;
- (iii)  $\text{cl} X_0 = X$ ;
- (iv)  $X \setminus X_0$  is not compact.

Then

$$\downarrow C_F(X) \approx c_0 \cup (Q \setminus \Sigma).$$

In the present paper, we show that the above theorem also holds while the condition (iv) is removed. That is,

**Main Theorem.** Let  $X$  be a non-discrete  $k$ -space. If the set of all isolated points in  $X$  is dense and  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable, then we have

$$\downarrow C_F(X) \approx c_0 \cup (Q \setminus \Sigma).$$

Summarizing the above theorems, we have

**Theorem 4.** For a  $k$ -space  $X$ , if the function space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable, then

$$\downarrow C_F(X) \approx \begin{cases} \mathbf{I}^{|X|} & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete;} \\ c_0 & \text{if } \text{cl} X_0 \neq X; \\ c_0 \cup (Q \setminus \Sigma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we at first give some concepts and facts on general topology and infinite-dimensional topology. For more information, we refer to [1,2,5,6]. Secondly, for the sake of completeness, we recall some results and symbols in [9,12].

For a metric space  $(M, d)$ , a closed subset  $A$  is called a  $Z$ -set if for open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$  there is a continuous map  $f : M \rightarrow M \setminus A$  such that  $f$  and  $\text{id}_M$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close, that is, for every  $x \in M$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  satisfying  $U \ni f(x), x$ . If  $A$  is compact in a metric space  $(M, d)$ ,  $A$  is a  $Z$ -set if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a continuous map  $f : M \rightarrow M \setminus A$  with  $d(f(x), x) < \varepsilon$  for every  $x \in M$ . A  $Z_\sigma$ -set in a space is a subset of the space which is a countable union of  $Z$ -sets in the space. A  $Z$ -embedding is an embedding with a  $Z$ -set image.

A subset  $A$  of a space  $Y$  is called *homotopy dense* if there exists a homotopy  $H : Y \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H(y, 0) = y$  and  $H(y, t) \in A$  for every  $y \in Y$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

A space  $Y$  is defined to satisfy the *strong discrete approximation property* (briefly *SDAP*) if for every continuous map  $f : Q \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$  and open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$ , there exists a continuous map  $g : Q \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g, f$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close and  $\{g(Q \times \{n\}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a discrete collection in  $Y$ .

Let  $Z$  be a separable metrizable space and  $\mathcal{C}$  a class of separable metrizable spaces. We say that  $Z$  is *strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal* provided that for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , every continuous map  $f : C \rightarrow Z$ , every closed subset  $K$  of  $Z$  such that  $f|_K : K \rightarrow C$  is a  $Z$ -embedding and for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Z$ , there is a  $Z$ -embedding  $g : C \rightarrow Z$  such that  $g|_K = f|_K$  and  $g, f$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  denote the class of metrizable compacta, and for a topological class  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{C})$  denote the class of pairs  $(Z, C)$  such that  $Z \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  with  $Z \supset C$ . Let  $(M, d)$  be a copy of the Hilbert cube  $Q$ . We say that a subspace  $Z$  of  $M$  is *strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal in  $M$*  provided that for every  $(Y, C) \in (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{C})$ , every

continuous map  $f : Y \rightarrow M$ , every closed subset  $K$  of  $Y$  such that  $f|_K : K \rightarrow M$  is a  $Z$ -embedding and for every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$ , there is a  $Z$ -embedding  $g : Y \rightarrow M$  such that  $g|_K = f|_K$ ,  $g^{-1}(Z) \setminus K = C \setminus K$  and  $g, f$  are  $\mathcal{U}$ -close.

By [1, 1.7.9 Theorem], we have

**Lemma 1.** *If  $Y$  is both homotopy dense and strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal in a copy  $M$  of the Hilbert cube and  $Y$  has SDAP, then  $Y$  is strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal.*

A space  $Y$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -coabsorber if

- (i)  $Y$  is a strongly  $\mathcal{C}$ -universal ANR (absolutely neighborhood retract) satisfying SDAP;
- (ii) every  $Z$ -set in the space  $Y$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- (iii)  $Y$  is a co- $Z_\sigma$ -space, that is,  $Y$  contains a homotopy dense absolute  $G_\delta$ -subset.

The fundamental property of coabsorber is as follows, see [1, 1.6.4 Theorem].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of spaces. Two  $\mathcal{C}$ -coabsorbers are homeomorphic if and only if they are homotopy equivalent. In particular, two  $\mathcal{C}$ -coabsorbing AR's (absolutely retracts) are homeomorphic.*

Next, we introduce some concepts needed in this paper.

A separable metrizable space  $Y$  is called an *absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -space* if it is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set in any metrizable space which contains  $Y$  as a subspace. Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  be the class of all absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -spaces. We will prove that  $(Q \setminus \Sigma) \cup c_0$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -coabsorber.

A space  $X$  is called a *k-space* provided for a subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,  $A$  is closed in  $X$  if and only if  $A \cap C$  is closed in  $C$  for every compact subspace  $C$  of  $X$ . It is well-known that a space is a  $k$ -space if and only if it is a quotient space of a locally compact space, see [2, Theorem 3.3.18]. A space  $X$  is called *weakly locally compact* if for every compact set  $K$  in  $X$  there exists an open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $K \subset \text{cl}U$  and  $\text{cl}U$  is compact. A space  $X$  is said to be *hemicompact* if there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact sets in  $X$  such that every compact set in  $X$  is included in some element of  $\mathcal{K}$ . A space is called an  $\aleph_0$ -space if there exists a countable  $k$ -network, where a family  $\mathcal{K}$  of sets in a space  $X$  is called a *k-network*<sup>1</sup> if, for every compact set  $C$  and every open set  $U$  in  $X$  with  $U \supset C$ , there exists  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $C \subset K \subset U$ . A continuous map  $r : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *compact-covering* if for every compact set  $C$  in  $X$ , there exists a compact set  $D$  in  $Y$  such that  $r(D) = C$ , or, equivalently,  $r(D) \supset C$ . Note that a space is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if it is an image of a separable metrizable space under compact-covering map, see [4]. A continuous map  $r : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *weakly open* if for every non-empty open set  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $\text{int cl}r(U)$  is non-empty in  $X$ .

Using the above concepts, in [3], cf. [12], McCoy and Ntantu presented a sufficient and necessary condition for  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  being metrizable. That is,

**Lemma 3.** *The space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  is a weakly locally compact and hemicompact  $\aleph_0$ -space.*

In [7], it was proved that  $X$  is metrizable if  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable and  $X$  is either first-countable or locally compact. But the metrization of  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  does not imply that the metrization of  $X$  since there exists a weakly locally compact and hemicompact  $\aleph_0$ -space, such as the sequential fan space  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  in the next section, which is neither first-countable nor locally compact.

<sup>1</sup> In some literatures, a family  $\mathcal{K}$  of sets in a space  $X$  is called a  $k$ -network if, for every compact set  $C$  and every open set  $U$  in  $X$  with  $U \supset C$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{K}_0$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $C \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K}_0 \subset U$ . Such difference does not make any trouble.

From now to the end of this paper, we fix a space  $X$  and assume that  $X$  is at least

**a weakly locally compact and hemicompact  $\aleph_0$ -space.**

For such a space  $X$ , in [12], the authors constructed a locally compact separable metrizable space  $M$  and a compact-covering and weakly open map  $r : M \rightarrow X$ . The map induces a map  $r^\# : \text{USC}_F(X) \rightarrow \text{USC}_F(M)$  as follows:

$$r^\#(f) = f \circ r, \text{ for every } f \in \text{USC}(X).$$

Therefore, we can obtain a map  $r^\# : \downarrow\text{USC}_F(X) \rightarrow \downarrow\text{USC}_F(M)$  defined by

$$r^\#(\downarrow f) = \downarrow(f \circ r), \text{ for } f \in \text{USC}(X).$$

Let

$$A(X) = \text{cl}_{\downarrow\text{USC}_F(M)} r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}_F(X)).$$

In [12,14], the following results were proved:

**Lemma 4** (The Embedding Theorem). *For every weakly locally compact and hemicompact infinite  $\aleph_0$ -space  $X$ , we have that  $r^\# : \downarrow\text{C}_F(X) \rightarrow r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}_F(X))$  is a homeomorphism,  $A(X) \approx Q$  and  $r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}_F(X))$  is homotopy dense in  $A(X)$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *For every weakly locally compact and hemicompact infinite  $\aleph_0$ -space  $X$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}_F(X))$  is closed in  $\downarrow\text{C}_F(M)$ ;
- (b)  $r : M \rightarrow X$  is a quotient map;
- (c)  $X$  is a  $k$ -space.

Hence  $r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}_F(X))$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -space if  $X$  is a  $k$ -space since, by Theorem 1,  $\downarrow\text{C}_F(M)$  is an absolute  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -space.

Let

$$\downarrow\text{C}_0(X) = \{\downarrow f \in A(X) : f(z) = 0 \text{ for every non-isolated point } z \in M\}.$$

The following results were essentially proved in [9, Lemmas 8, 10, 11],

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly locally compact and hemicompact infinite non-discrete  $\aleph_0$ -space. If  $X$  is a  $k$ -space and  $\text{cl}X_0 = X$ , then*

- (1)  $(A(X), \downarrow\text{C}_0(X)) \approx (Q, Q \setminus \Sigma)$ ;
- (2)  $\downarrow\text{C}_0(X) \subset r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}(X))$ ;
- (3)  $\downarrow\text{C}_0(X)$  satisfies SDAP and is homotopy dense in  $r^\#(\downarrow\text{C}(X))$ ;
- (4) If  $x_\infty \in X \setminus X_0$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of points in  $X_0$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x_\infty$ .

**Proof.** The statements (1) and (2) follow from [9, Lemmas 8, 10] and [5, Section 6.5]. Since  $Q \setminus \Sigma \approx \mathbb{R}^{\aleph}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{\aleph}$  satisfies SDAP (see [1, 1.3.2 Theorem]),  $\downarrow\text{C}_0(X)$  satisfies SDAP. It is well-known that  $(Q, Q \setminus \Sigma) \approx$

$(Q, (-1, 1)^{\mathbb{N}})$  (see [5, Section 6.5]) and  $(-1, 1)^{\mathbb{N}}$  is homotopy dense in  $Q$ . Thus, (3) holds. The statement (4) follows from [9, Lemma 11].  $\square$

### 3. A characterization of the sequential fan space

To prove The Main Theorem, we give a characterization of the sequential fan space  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  in this section.

Let  $\{S_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be countable pair-disjoint convergent sequences. For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume that  $S_i$  converges to  $a_i$ . Then quotient space of  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i$  collapsing the set  $\{a_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to a point is called the *sequential fan space*, denoted by  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ .

We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *For a space  $Y$ ,  $Y \approx S_{\mathbb{N}}$  if and only if  $Y$  is a non-metrizable weakly locally compact and hemicompact  $\aleph_0$ -space and a  $k$ -space with unique non-isolated point.*

**Proof.** The necessity is obvious. We will prove the sufficiency. Let  $x_{\infty}$  be the unique non-isolated point in  $Y$ . By [12, Lemma 3], there exists a  $k$ -network  $\{C_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  in  $Y$  such that every  $C_n$  is compact  $Y$ . Define  $\mathcal{S}$  to be the family of images sets of sequences converging to  $x_{\infty}$  in  $Y$ . Then we have:

Fact 1: Every compact subset of  $Y$  is either a finite set or in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Fact 2: The set  $A = \{n : C_n \in \mathcal{S}\}$  is infinite.

Since  $Y$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space, every compact set in  $Y$  is metrizable. Fact 1 follows.

Next we prove Fact 2. Assume that  $A = \{n : C_n \in \mathcal{S}\}$  is finite. Let  $C = \{x_{\infty}\} \cup \bigcup_{n \in A} C_n$ . Then  $C$  is a metrizable compact subspace of  $Y$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(Y \setminus C) \cap C_n$  is finite and hence it is closed in  $C_n$ . Since  $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a  $k$ -network and  $Y$  is a  $k$ -space,  $Y \setminus C$  is an open and closed discrete subspace of  $Y$ . Hence  $Y = C \oplus (Y \setminus C)$  is metrizable. It is a contradiction.

We define  $\{Q_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  by induction as follows. Let

$$Q_1 = C_1, \text{ and for } n > 1$$

$$Q_n = \begin{cases} (C_n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_j) \cup \{x_{\infty}\} & \text{if } C_n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_j \text{ is infinite,} \\ C_n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} Q_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q_n$  is either finite or  $Q_n \in \mathcal{S}$ . Similarly to the proof of Fact 2, we have

$$\{n : Q_n \in \mathcal{S}\} = \{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_i < \dots\}$$

is infinite. Let

$$S_i = \bigcup_{n_{i-1} < j \leq n_i} Q_j,$$

where  $n_0 = 0$ . Then for every  $i \neq j$ ,

$$S_i \in \mathcal{S}, \quad S_i \cap S_j = \{x_{\infty}\}.$$

The natural map  $r : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \rightarrow Y$  is continuous since  $r|_{S_i}$  is imbedding for every  $i$ .

At last, we show that  $r$  is a quotient map, which complete our proof. Assume that  $A \subset Y$  and  $r^{-1}(A)$  is closed in  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ . Then  $A \cap S_i$  is closed in hence compact for every  $i$ . Thus,  $r(A \cap S_i) = A \cap S_i$  is compact. Note that every  $C_n$  is included in a finite union of  $S_i$ 's. It follows that  $A \cap C_n$  is compact for every  $n$ . Since  $Y$  is a  $k$ -space and  $\{C_n\}$  is a  $k$ -network for  $Y$ ,  $A$  is closed in  $Y$ . We conclude that  $r : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \rightarrow Y$  is a quotient map.  $\square$

4.  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is strongly- $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(X)$

In this section, we verify that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is strongly- $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(X)$  if  $X$  is also a non-discrete  $k$ -space and  $\text{cl } X_0 = X$ .

For  $i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , let  $a_{i,j} = (i, \frac{1}{2^j})$ , where  $\frac{1}{2^\infty} = 0$ . And let

$$M = \{a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

be a metric subspace of Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual metric  $d$ . Then

$$d((a, t), (b, s)) = \max\{d(a, b), |t - s|\}$$

is a metric on the space  $M \times \mathbf{I}$ . We consider the sequential fan  $S_{\mathbb{N}}$  as a quotient space of  $M$  collapsing the set  $\{(i, 0) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to the point  $m_\infty$ . And  $r : M \rightarrow S_{\mathbb{N}}$  is the quotient map corresponding. We can define  $A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  as the same method in Section 2 by them. Moreover, we can define an admissible metric  $\rho$  on the space  $A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  such that for every pair  $\downarrow f, \downarrow g \in A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ ,

$$\rho(\downarrow f, \downarrow g) \leq d_H(\downarrow f, \downarrow g),$$

where  $d_H$  is the Hausdorff metric on  $\text{Cld}(M \times \mathbf{I})$  induced by the metric  $d$  on  $M \times \mathbf{I}$ , see [9]. (Note that  $d_H$  is not an admissible metric on the space  $A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ .) We might as well identify  $r(a_{i,j})$ ,  $r^\#(C(S_{\mathbb{N}}))$  and  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $C(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ , respectively. Then we have:

**Lemma 7.** *The space  $\downarrow C_F(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  is strongly- $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $Y$  be a compact metrizable space and  $C, K$  an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set and a closed set in  $Y$ , respectively. For an arbitrary  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and every continuous map  $\downarrow \Phi : Y \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ , while its restriction  $\downarrow \Phi|_K$  is a  $Z$ -embedding, we will define a continuous map  $\downarrow \Psi : Y \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  such that

- (1)  $\downarrow \Psi : Y \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  is a  $Z$ -embedding;
- (2)  $\downarrow \Psi|_K = \downarrow \Phi|_K$ ;
- (3)  $\downarrow \Psi^{-1}(\downarrow C(S_{\mathbb{N}})) \setminus K = C \setminus K$ ;
- (4)  $\rho(\downarrow \Psi(y), \downarrow \Phi(y)) < \varepsilon$  for every  $y \in Y$ .

By the Mapping Replacement Theorem [6, Theorem 5.3.11], we may assume that

$$\downarrow \Phi(K) \cap \downarrow \Phi(Y \setminus K) = \emptyset.$$

First, we define  $\delta : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$\delta(y) = \frac{1}{4} \min\{\varepsilon, \rho(\downarrow \Phi(y), \downarrow \Phi(K))\}.$$

Then,  $y \in K$  if and only if  $\delta(y) = 0$ . By [6, Proposition 4.1.7] and Lemma 4, there exists a homotopy  $\downarrow H : A(S_{\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  such that for every  $\downarrow f \in A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\downarrow H_0 = id_{A(S_{\mathbb{N}})}, \downarrow H_t(A(S_{\mathbb{N}})) \subset \downarrow C(S_{\mathbb{N}}), \text{ and } \rho(\downarrow H_t(\downarrow f), \downarrow f) \leq t.$$

For every  $y \in Y$ , define  $\downarrow h(y) \in A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  as follows

$$h(y)(a_{i,j}) = \max\{\delta(y), H(\Phi(y), \delta(y))(a_{i,j})\}.$$

Then

- (5)  $\downarrow h|K = \downarrow \Phi|K$ ;
- (6)  $\downarrow h(Y \setminus K) \subset \downarrow C(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ ;
- (7)  $\rho(\downarrow h(y), \downarrow \Phi(y)) \leq \delta(y)$ ;
- (8)  $h(y)(a_{i,j}) > 0$  for every  $y \in Y \setminus K$  and  $a_{i,j} \in M$ .

Define  $L : (Y \setminus K) \times (S_{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{m_{\infty}\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}$  by

$$L(y, a_{i,j}) = \max\{h(y)(a_{i,p}) : j \leq p \leq \infty\}.$$

Note that  $h(y)$  is continuous for every  $y \in Y \setminus K$  and  $\{a_{i,p} : j \leq p \leq \infty\}$  is compact for every pair  $i, j$ . It follows that  $L(y, a_{i,j})$  is well-defined. Obviously  $L$  is continuous. By [10, Lemma 2.9], there exists an embedding map  $g : Y \rightarrow Q_u = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $g^{-1}(c_1) = C$ , where

$$c_1 = \{(x_n) \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} : \lim x_n = 1\}.$$

Let

$$Y_k = \{y \in Y : \frac{1}{2^k} \leq \delta(y) \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}\}.$$

For every  $y \in Y_k$ , we construct a function  $\downarrow \Psi_k(y) \in A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  as follows. For  $a_{i,j} \in M$ , let

$$\Psi_k(y)(a_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} h(y)(a_{i,j}) & j \leq 2k; \\ (1-t) \cdot \delta(y) + t \cdot h(y)(a_{i,j}) & j = 2k+1; \\ (1-t) \cdot \frac{\delta(y)}{2} + t \cdot h(y)(a_{i,j}) & j = 2k+2; \\ \delta(y) & j = 2k+3; \\ t \cdot \frac{\delta(y)}{2} & j = 2k+4; \\ (1-t) \cdot B(y)(a_{i,j}) + t \cdot \delta(y) & j = 2k+5; \\ 0 & j = 2k+6; \\ B(y)(a_{i,j}) & j = 2k+7; \\ (1-t) \cdot \delta(y) & j = 2k+8; \\ (1-t) \cdot \delta(y) + t \cdot B(y)(a_{i,j}) & j = 2k+9; \\ t \cdot \delta(y) & j = 2k+10; \\ \delta(y) & j = 2k+11; \\ (1-t) \cdot \delta(y) \cdot g(y)(1) & j = 2k+12; \\ \delta(y) \cdot [(1-t) \cdot g(y)(l+1) + t \cdot g(y)(l)] & j > 2k+12, \\ & j \text{ is even;} \\ \delta(y) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $t = 2 - 2^k \delta(y)$ ,  $l = 2^{-1}j - k - 6$  and  $B(y)(a_{i,j}) = (1-t) \cdot L(y, a_{i,2k-1}) + t \cdot L(y, a_{i,2k+1})$ .

For every  $m \geq 2k + 10$ , define

$$\Psi_k^m(y)(a_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \Psi_k(y)(a_{i,j}) & j \leq m; \\ \delta(y) & j > m. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Psi_k^m(y) \in C(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  and  $\downarrow \Psi_k(y) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \Psi_k^m(y)$ . It follows that  $\downarrow \Psi_k(y) \in A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  for every  $y \in Y \setminus K$ .



Now, define a function  $\downarrow\Psi : Y \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  by

$$\Psi(y) = \begin{cases} \Phi(y) = h(y) & y \in K; \\ \Psi_k(y) & y \in Y_k. \end{cases}$$

The function  $\Psi$  is as required.

Firstly,  $\downarrow\Psi : Y \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  is well-defined and  $\downarrow\Psi^{-1}(\downarrow C(S_{\mathbb{N}})) \setminus K = C \setminus K$ .

In fact, if  $y \in Y_k \cap Y_{k+1}$ , then  $\delta(y) = \frac{1}{2^k}$ . It is not hard to verify that  $\Psi_k(y) = \Psi_{k+1}(y)$ . For every  $y \in Y \setminus K$ ,  $\Psi(y) \in \downarrow C(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  if and only if it is continuous at  $m_{\infty}$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(y)(n) = 1$  if and only if  $y \in C$ . Hence  $\downarrow\Psi^{-1}(\downarrow C(S_{\mathbb{N}})) \setminus K = C \setminus K$ .

Secondly, for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $\rho(\downarrow\Psi(y), \downarrow\Phi(y)) \leq 2\delta(y) < \varepsilon$ .

In fact, for every  $\frac{1}{2^j} \geq \delta(y)$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\Psi(y)(a_{i,j}) - h(y)(a_{i,j})| = 0 \leq \delta(y).$$

Moreover, there exists  $m_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^{m_i}} \leq \delta(y)$  and

$$\Psi(y)(a_{i,m_i}) \geq \sup\{h(y)(a_{i,p}) : \frac{1}{2^p} \leq \delta(y)\}.$$

Thus  $\downarrow h(y) \subset B_d(\downarrow\Psi(y), \delta(y))$ . Similarly, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup\{\Psi(y)(a_{i,j}) : \frac{1}{2^j} \leq \delta(y)\} \leq \sup\{h(y)(a_{i,j}) : \frac{1}{2^j} \leq \delta(y)\} + \delta(y).$$

Hence  $\downarrow\Psi(y) \subset B_d(\downarrow h(y), \delta(y))$ . Then

$$\rho(\downarrow\Psi(y), \downarrow h(y)) \leq d_H(\downarrow\Psi(y), \downarrow h(y)) \leq \delta(y)$$

for every  $y \in Y$ . It follows from  $\rho(\downarrow h(y), \downarrow\Phi(y)) \leq \delta(y)$  that

$$\rho(\downarrow\Psi(y), \downarrow\Phi(y)) \leq 2\delta(y) < \varepsilon$$

for every  $y \in Y$

Finally,  $\downarrow\Psi$  is a  $Z$ -embedding.

In fact, similarly to [11, Lemma 9], by the continuity of  $\Phi, L, g, \delta, B$ , the map  $\downarrow\Psi : Y \rightarrow A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  is continuous. By the definition of  $\Psi$  and  $g$  being embedding, using the same method as the proof of [11, Lemma 9], we can prove that  $\Psi$  is one-to-one. For every  $y \in Y$ ,  $\Psi(y)$  either is in a  $Z$ -set  $\Phi(K)$  in  $A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$  or there exists a point  $a_{i,j} \in M$  such that  $\Psi(y)(a_{i,j}) = 0$ . It follows from [8, Lemma 5],  $\Psi(Y)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $A(S_{\mathbb{N}})$ . Hence  $\downarrow\Psi$  is a  $Z$ -embedding.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** For the space  $X$ , we have that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(X)$  if  $X$  is also a non-discrete  $k$ -space and  $\text{cl } X_0 = X$ .

**Proof.** Case A.  $|X \setminus X_0| = 1$ . Then, by Proposition 1, we know that either  $X$  is metrizable or  $X \approx S_{\mathbb{N}}$ . If the former holds, by Theorem 1,  $(A(X) = \downarrow USC_F(X), \downarrow C_F(X)) \approx (\downarrow USC_F(S), \downarrow C_F(S))$ , where  $S$  is the sequencing sequence with its limit. Using [15, Proposition 1], we have that  $\downarrow C_F(S)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $\downarrow USC_F(S)$ . It follows that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(X)$ . If the latter holds, using Lemma 7,  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(X)$ .

Case B.  $|X \setminus X_0| \geq 2$ . In [9, Theorem 7], the authors proved that  $\downarrow C_n(X)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $B_n(X)$ . Using the same method, we can show that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal in  $A(X)$ . But, we have

been informed by the reviewer of this present paper that there are two gaps in Proof of [9, Theorem 7]. In here, we only amend the two gaps in the following:

The first gap is at the beginning of Step two of Part I, in Proof of Theorem 7. Here, we stated in the paper that “Using Lemma 5 to  $\Psi_1 : Y \setminus K \rightarrow C(M), (u, i)$  for  $i \geq n + 1$  and  $\frac{\delta(y)}{2}$ , there exists a continuous map  $\gamma_0 : Y \setminus K \rightarrow (0, \mu)$  such that

$$\Psi_1(y)(m) < \frac{\delta(y)}{2} \text{ for every } m \in B_{d_w}((u, i), \gamma_0(y)).”$$

The problem is that for different points  $(u, i)$ , using Lemma 5, we only obtained different continuous maps  $\gamma_0$ 's rather than a single one. For this problem, we could fix it by using Lemma 5 to choose a continuous map  $\gamma_0$  for the specified point  $(u, n + 1)$  and space  $K_{n+1} \times \{n + 1\}$  such that

$$\Psi_1(y)(m) < \frac{\delta(y)}{2} \text{ for every } m \in B_{d_w}((u, n + 1), \gamma_0(y)).$$

This  $\gamma_0$  could work for the points  $(u, i)$  and the spaces  $K_i \times \{i\}$  for all  $i \geq n + 1$  due to the following facts:

- (1) In Step two we only change the value of  $\Psi_1(y)$  on some of the isolated points  $\{r^{-1}(u_i)\}$ ;
- (2)  $\Psi_1(y) \in r^\#(C(X))$  for  $y \in Y \setminus K$ , and
- (3) the sequence of isolated points  $\{u_i\}$ 's are all located in  $K_{n+1}$ , and therefore it holds that  $\{(u_i, k)\}_i \subset K_k \times \{k\}$  for  $k \geq n + 1$ .

The second gap is that the map  $\Psi_2(y)$ , which was defined on the top of page 128, has some continuity problems. We believe this mistake could be fixed by the following adjustments. In fact, the following changes of the functions  $g$  and  $\Psi_2$  could solve this problem.

At first, we construct an imbedding  $g_1 : Y \rightarrow c_1$  satisfying that for every pair of distinct  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  and every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $k \geq N$ , such that  $g_1(y_1)(k) \neq g_1(y_2)(k)$ . In fact, let  $j : Y \rightarrow Q_u$  be an imbedding. Then  $J : Y \rightarrow Q_u^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $J(y) = (j(y), j(y), \dots) \in Q_u^{\mathbb{N}} \approx Q_u$ , is also an imbedding. Trivially, we can define two homeomorphisms  $\phi : Q_u^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow Q_u$  and  $\psi : Q_u \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\frac{2}{3}, 1] \times \dots$  such that  $g_1 = \psi \circ \phi \circ J : Y \rightarrow [0, 1] \times [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \times [\frac{2}{3}, 1] \times \dots \subset c_1$  satisfies our requirements.

Secondly, let  $g_2 : Y \rightarrow Q_u$  be a Z-imbedding with  $g_2^{-1}(c_1) = C$  which is the same as the original  $g$  in [9].

Thirdly, we define a new Z-imbedding  $g : Y \rightarrow Q_u$  as follows

$$g(y)(k) = \begin{cases} g_1(y)(\frac{1+k}{2}) & k \text{ is odd,} \\ g_2(y)(\frac{k}{2}) & k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $g$  is an imbedding and  $g^{-1}(c_1) = C$ . Moreover, for every pair of distinct points  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  and every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $k \geq N$ , such that  $g(y_1)(k) \neq g(y_2)(k)$ .

Fourthly, we can use the aforementioned map  $g$  to give a new definition of  $\Psi_2(y)$  as follows. For  $y \in Y \setminus K$  and  $(x, i) \in M$ , put

$$\Psi_2(y)(x, i) = \begin{cases} \Psi_1(y)(x, i) & x \in X \setminus \{u_k : k \geq n(y)\}, \\ \Psi_1(y)(x, i) \frac{2d_w(x,u)-\gamma(y)}{\gamma(y)} + (1 - \frac{2d_w(x,u)-\gamma(y)}{\gamma(y)})g(y)(k) \frac{\delta(y)}{4} & x = u_k \text{ and } n(y) \leq k < m(y), \\ g(y)(k) \frac{\delta(y)}{4} & x = u_k \text{ and } k \geq m(y). \end{cases}$$

Then this new constructed map  $\Psi_2(y)$  could work well as what we had expected.  $\square$

## 5. Proof of The Main Theorem

At first, we have.

**Lemma 8.** For the space  $X$ , we have that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is an AR.

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 4 and [1, 1.2.1 Proposition] that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is an AR.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** Suppose the space  $X$  is also a  $k$ -space and  $\text{cl } X_0 = X$ ,  $x_\infty \in X \setminus X_0$  and  $\{x_k\} \subset X_0$  with  $x_k \rightarrow x_\infty$ . Let

$$S = \{x_n : n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty\} \text{ and } S_0 = S \setminus \{x_\infty\},$$

$$Y = \begin{cases} X \setminus S_0 & \text{if } x_\infty \in \text{cl}(X \setminus S), \\ X \setminus S & \text{if } x_\infty \notin \text{cl}(X \setminus S). \end{cases}$$

Then  $Y$  is a weakly locally compact and hemicompact  $\aleph_0$ -space and a  $k$ -space. Thus,  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  is an AR.

**Proof.** We have only to verify that  $Y$  is weakly locally compact since  $Y$  is closed in  $X$  in the both cases.

Case A. If  $x_\infty \notin \text{cl}(X \setminus S)$ , then,  $Y$  is also open in  $X$  and hence is weakly locally compact.

Case B. Otherwise. Then  $Y \setminus \{x_\infty\}$  is not closed in  $X$ . At first, we show that there exists a sequence in  $Y \cap X_0$  converging to  $x_\infty$ . By [12, Lemma 3], there exists a  $k$ -network  $\{K_n\}$  for  $X$  consisting of compact regular closed sets. Since  $X$  is a  $k$ -space, there exists  $n$  such that  $(Y \setminus \{x_\infty\}) \cap K_n$  is not closed in  $K_n$ . Note that  $K_n$  is metrizable and regular closed in  $X$ ,  $\text{cl } X_0 = X$  and  $x_k \rightarrow x_\infty$ . It follows that there exists a sequence  $\{z_k\} \subset Y \cap X_0 \cap K_n$  such that  $z_k \rightarrow x_\infty$ . Now, let  $C$  be a compact set in  $Y$ . Then there exists an open set  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $C \subset \text{cl } U$  and  $\text{cl } U$  is compact. Then

$$V = (U \cap Y) \cup \{z_k\}$$

is open in  $Y$  and

$$C \subset \text{cl}_Y V = \text{cl}_X V \subset \text{cl } U \cup \{z_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{x_\infty\}.$$

Moreover,  $\text{cl } U \cup \{z_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{x_\infty\}$  is compact and hence  $\text{cl}_Y V$  is compact. This shows that  $Y$  is weakly locally compact.  $\square$

We need a technical tool.

**Lemma 10.** Suppose  $A$  is a regular closed subspace of a space  $Y$ . Then the map  $\downarrow f \mapsto \downarrow f|A$  is continuous from  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  to  $\downarrow C_F(A)$ . Conversely, the map  $(\downarrow f, \downarrow g) \mapsto \downarrow (f \cup g)$  is also continuous from

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(\downarrow f, \downarrow g) \in \downarrow C(A) \times \downarrow C(B) : f|A \cap B = g|A \cap B\} \subset \downarrow C_F(A) \times \downarrow C_F(B)$$

to  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  if  $Y$  is a union of two closed subspaces  $A, B$  of it. Therefore, the map  $\downarrow f \mapsto (\downarrow f|A, \downarrow f|B)$  is an imbedding from  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  to  $\downarrow C_F(A) \times \downarrow C_F(B)$  if  $Y$  is a union of two regular closed subspaces  $A, B$  of it.

**Proof.** In [13, Lemma 2.2], the authors have proved that the first statement in the present lemma holds in the case that  $A$  is a compact regular closed subspace. That proof is valid for here. In fact, the compactness of  $A$  was used in [13, Lemma 2.2] so that the map  $\downarrow f \mapsto \downarrow f|A$  is a surjection from  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  to  $\downarrow C_F(A)$ .

Note that  $\cup : \text{Cld}_F(Y \times \mathbf{I}) \times \text{Cld}_F(Y \times \mathbf{I}) \rightarrow \text{Cld}_F(Y \times \mathbf{I})$  is continuous. It follows that the map  $(\downarrow f, \downarrow g) \mapsto \downarrow(f \cup g) = \downarrow f \cup \downarrow g$  is also continuous from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  if  $Y$  is a union of two closed subspaces  $A, B$  of it.

The third statement is a combination of the first two ones.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Suppose the space  $X$  is also a non-discrete  $k$ -space and  $\text{cl} X_0 = X$ . We have that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  satisfies SDAP.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 6, we can choose a point  $x_\infty \in X \setminus X_0$  and a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X_0$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x_\infty$ . Define  $S, S_0$  and  $Y$  as the same as in Lemma 9. Then, by Lemma 9,  $\downarrow C_F(Y)$  is an AR. It follows from Theorem 1 that

$$(Q \setminus \Sigma) \cup c_0 \approx \downarrow C_F(S) \approx \downarrow C_F\left(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i\right) \approx \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \downarrow C_F(S_i) \approx ((Q \setminus \Sigma) \cup c_0)^\mathbb{N},$$

where  $S_i \approx S$ , which shows that  $(Q \setminus \Sigma) \cup c_0$  satisfies SDAP.

If we identify  $f \in C(X)$  with the pair  $(f|_S, f|_Y)$ , by Lemma 10,  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  can be as a subspace of  $\downarrow C_F(S) \times \downarrow C_F(Y)$ . Moreover, we verify that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is homotopy dense in  $\downarrow C_F(S) \times \downarrow C_F(Y)$ . In fact, if  $x_\infty \notin \text{cl}(X \setminus S)$ , then  $\downarrow C_F(X) = \downarrow C_F(S) \times \downarrow C_F(Y)$ . If  $x_\infty \in \text{cl}(X \setminus S)$ , then  $\downarrow C_F(X) \supset \downarrow C_0(S) \times \downarrow C_0(Y)$  and, by Lemmas 6 and 9,  $\downarrow C_0(S), \downarrow C_0(Y)$  are homotopy dense subspaces of the spaces  $\downarrow C_F(S), \downarrow C_F(Y)$ , respectively.

Hence, using results in [1, P25], we have that  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  satisfies SDAP.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Suppose the space  $X$  is also a non-discrete  $k$ -space and  $\text{cl} X_0 = X$ . Then  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -coabsorbing AR.*

**Proof.** (i). The space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is a strongly  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal AR satisfying SDAP. Using Lemmas 1, 8 and 11 and Proposition 2,  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is a strongly- $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -universal AR satisfying SDAP.

(ii) Every  $Z$ -set in  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ . By Lemma 5,  $\downarrow C_F(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ . It follows that every  $Z$ -set in  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ .

(iii) The space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is a co- $Z_\sigma$ -space. Lemma 6 shows that the subspace  $\downarrow C_0(X)$  of  $r^\#(\downarrow C(X)) \approx \downarrow C_F(X)$  is a homotopy dense absolute  $G_\delta$ -subset.  $\square$

**Proof of The Main Theorem.** It follows immediately from Lemmas 2 and 12 and Theorem 1.  $\square$

As a corollary of The Main Theorem, we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *The space  $c_0 \cup (Q \setminus \Sigma)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -coabsorbing.*

### 6. Remarks and open problems

In [12], it was proved that there exist many non- $k$ -spaces  $X$  such that the function spaces  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  are metrizable. In fact, for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}^* = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ , we can define a strictly coarser Tychonoff topology  $\mathcal{T}_p$  on the set  $S_\mathbb{N}$  than the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  of the sequential fan space  $S_\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\downarrow C_F(S_\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_p)$  is a subspace of the separable metrizable space  $\downarrow C_F(S_\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T})$ . Moreover, it is not hard to verify that  $\downarrow C_F(S_\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_p) \neq \downarrow C_F(S_\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_q)$  if  $p \neq q$ . Hence

$$|\{\downarrow C_F(S_\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{T}_p) : p \in \mathbb{N}^*\}| = 2^c.$$

Using Lavrentieff's Theorem (cf. [2]), it can be proved<sup>2</sup> that, for every subspace  $Y_0$  of a separable metrizable space  $Y$ ,

$$|\{Z \subset Y : Z \approx Y_0\}| \leq c.$$

It follows that there are  $2^c$  topologically different function spaces  $\downarrow C_F(S_N, \mathcal{T}_p)$  when  $p$  runs in  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . On the other hand, every metrizable function space  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is separable, see [7]. Therefore, we have

**Theorem 6.** *There are exactly  $2^c$  topologically different metrizable function spaces  $\downarrow C_F(X)$ .*

In [12], an open problem giving a topological classification of metrizable function spaces  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  was put. Theorem 6 shows that it seem to be impossible to completely solve this problem. But, we can consider the following problems:

**Open Problem 1.** To give a relation between spaces  $\downarrow C_F(S_{\mathbb{N}}, \mathcal{T}_p)$  and  $C(\mathbb{N} \cup \{p\})$  with the pointwise converging topology.

**Open Problem 2.** If  $\downarrow C_F(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ , then  $X$  is a  $k$ -space. Is it true?

If the answer of the above problem is no, then we can consider the following problem:

**Open Problem 3.** Is it true that  $\downarrow C_F(X) \approx c_0$  or  $\downarrow C_F(X) \approx (Q \setminus \Sigma) \cup c_0$  if  $\downarrow C_F(X) \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $X$  is non-discrete?

Trivially, if  $X$  is discrete, then  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  does not satisfy SDAP. Thus, the following is interesting:

**Open Problem 4.** Does  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  satisfy SDAP if  $\downarrow C_F(X)$  is metrizable and  $X$  is non-discrete?

## Acknowledgement

We thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading the original manuscript and giving many valuable suggestions for improvement.

## References

- [1] T. Banach, T. Radul, M. Zarichnyi, Absorbing Sets in Infinite-Dimensional Manifolds, Mathematical Studies. Monograph Series, vol. 1, VNTL Publishers, 1995.
- [2] R. Engelking, General Topology, second ed., Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] R.A. McCoy, I. Ntantu, Properties of  $C(X)$  with the epi-topology, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., B 6 (7) (1992) 507–532.
- [4] E.A. Michael,  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966) 982–1002.
- [5] J. van Mill, Infinite-Dimensional Topology: Prerequisites and Introduction, North-Holland Math., Library, vol. 43, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989.
- [6] J. van Mill, The Infinite-Dimensional Topology of Function Spaces, North-Holland Math., Library, vol. 64, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [7] H. Yang, Metrization of function spaces with the Fell topology, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. 53 (2012) 307–318.
- [8] Z. Yang, The hyperspace of the regions below of continuous maps is homeomorphic to  $c_0$ , Topol. Appl. 153 (2006) 2908–2921.
- [9] Z. Yang, L. Chen, Y. Zheng, Topological classification of function spaces with the Fell topology III, Topol. Appl. 197 (2016) 112–132.
- [10] Z. Yang, S. Hu, G. Wei, The topological structure of continuous function space of non-compact space with the Fell topology, Topol. Proc. 41 (2013) 17–38.

<sup>2</sup> Professor Jan van Mill warned the authors to note this fact.

- [11] Z. Yang, N. Wu, A topological position of the set of continuous maps in the set of upper semicontinuous maps, *Sci. China Ser. A, Math.* 52 (2009) 1815–1828.
- [12] Z. Yang, P. Yan, Topological classification of function spaces with the Fell topology I, *Topol. Appl.* 178 (2014) 146–159.
- [13] Z. Yang, B. Zhang, The hyperspace of the regions below continuous maps with the Fell topology, *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* 28 (2012) 57–66.
- [14] Z. Yang, Y. Zheng, J. Chen, Topological classification of function spaces with the Fell topology II, *Topol. Appl.* 187 (2015) 82–97.
- [15] Z. Yang, X. Zhou, A pair of spaces of upper semi-continuous maps and continuous maps, *Topol. Appl.* 154 (2007) 1737–1747.